Fraud doesn't always look like fraud. Sometimes it looks like a process nobody questioned.

International Fraud Awareness Week | 15–21 November 2026

Fraud is often imagined as something obvious: falsified documents, deliberate deception or money disappearing from company accounts. In reality it can be much less visible. Sometimes it sits within an established business process, hidden behind routine transactions, familiar suppliers or procedures that nobody has thought to challenge.

In commercial relationships, particularly those involving complex contracts, multiple suppliers and significant expenditure, the distinction between an honest mistake, an operational weakness and deliberate misconduct is not always immediately clear.

Not every discrepancy is fraud

Compliance audits are primarily designed to review and validate whether services have been delivered, financial transactions are accurate and contractual obligations have been met.

In our experience, the majority of audit findings relate to billing errors, incorrect application of contractual terms, operational inefficiencies, missing documentation or failures in established processes. These issues can still create significant financial exposure and with a compliance audit a strong financial return, but they are not necessarily evidence of fraud.

However, there are occasions when an audit identifies something more serious. Repeated discrepancies, unsupported charges, undisclosed commercial arrangements, miss sold inventory media, unusual payment flows or inconsistencies in supporting documentation may raise questions that go beyond ordinary billing or operational mistakes.

Without independent review, these issues may remain undiscovered.

Prevention starts with the contract

The best way to manage compliance and reduce the opportunity for fraud is, with a robust contractual framework and clearly defined responsibilities.

Contracts should establish what is being delivered, how it is measured, how costs are calculated, which third parties may be involved and what information must be made available. Transparency, approval procedures and meaningful audit rights should be built into the agreement from the outset.

But even the best contract is only effective when supported by processes that are understood, followed and regularly reviewed.

Clear approval workflows, accurate financial reconciliation, appropriate segregation of responsibilities and proper documentation all contribute to a stronger control environment.

Independent review makes the difference
Regular compliance audits provide an opportunity to test whether those contractual and operational controls are actually working. They move the discussion beyond what a process is supposed to do and examine what is happening in practice.

They can identify financial leakage, validate delivery, expose weaknesses in governance and help distinguish isolated mistakes from recurring patterns that deserve closer attention.

Importantly, audit findings should lead to action. Contracts may need updating, processes may need redesigning, financial discrepancies must be resolved and monies returned. Tracking corrective actions helps ensure that the same problems do not simply reappear in the next audit cycle.

At 3A Compliance, we believe effective compliance is built on three foundations: good contracts, robust processes and regular independent review**.** Auditing is one of the most effective tools for testing all three.

During International Fraud Awareness Week, the message is simple: fraud prevention is not just about looking for suspicious activity. It is about creating an environment where transactions can be explained, processes can be challenged and evidence can be independently verified.

Because sometimes the greatest risk is not the transaction that looks suspicious. It is the one that nobody thought to question.